·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/769,787	GILBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3) <u>David Kelly</u> .	
(2) <u>Laurence posorske</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2/20/04 and 3/10/0</u> 4		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's represent	tative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∏ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	l. g)□ was not reached. h	D□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>Applicants and the explowed support for the claimed method using SEQ.ID.</u>	<u>kaminer discussed the issues</u>	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where rallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments t	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has alr OR THE MAILING DATE OF NT OF THE SUBSTANCE O	eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	4	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/769,787	GILBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David Kelly</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 March 2004</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative agreed to the amendments to the claims as set forth in the attached examiners amendment, and have accordingly been found to be condition for allowance (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims			
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	